

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,206	12/03/2001	Ibrahim Cem Duruoz	020699-001710US	5564
37490	7590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER	
	llectual Property Law C	NGUYEN, HUY THANH		
SUITE 109	000 EMBARCADERO ROAD JITE 109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALTO	O, CA 94303	2621		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/005,206	DURUOZ, IBRAHIM CEM			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	<u> </u>	HUY T. NGUYEN	2621			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication ap y	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) M - If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply rece	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL R IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut ived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)☐ Respo	onsive to communication(s) filed on					
		—· s action is non-final.				
·	<i>,</i> —					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of		•				
4)⊠ Claim	(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to: ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
		olosion roquirollia				
Application Pa	pers					
	ecification is objected to by the Examin					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) <u></u> The oa	th or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)∏ All	<i>'</i> — <i>'</i> —		)-(d) or (f).			
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
	Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea		ed in this National Stage			
	attached detailed Office action for a list		ad.			
occ me	and office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	su.			
Attachmant/-						
Attachment(s)	prences Cited (PTO 902)	Λ.Π. <sub>1-4-</sub>	(DTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/005,206

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a system for managing a plurality of file system processes within an audio/video file system by processing the size information and local process, classified in class 386, subclass 95
- II. Claims 11- 13, drawn to a method for managing the file system by checking the status of file process and performing calculation for the file system process, classified in class 386, subclass 386/113.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the system of Group I does not require checking status and performing calculation for file processes as require by the method of Group II and the method of Group II does not require processing the size information and local process for managing the system as required by the system of Group I.

2. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their

different classification and because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

H.N

HUY DUYEN PRIMATY EXAMINER